

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

213

CRM-M-3259 of 2021  
Date of Decision : 03.09.2021

Sonia

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

(Through video conferencing)

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI***

Present: Mr. Mohan Singla, Advocate for  
Mr. Deepak Choudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Amandeep Chhabra, Advocate for the complainant.

\*\*\*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)**

The present petition has been filed for cancellation of the regular bail granted to the respondent No.2 by this Court while passing order dated 25.08.2020 in CRM-M-5748 of 2020 on the ground that the respondent No.2 tried to threaten the petitioner.

Upon notice of motion, the State of Haryana has filed detailed reply in which it has been stated that on receiving complaint from the petitioner regarding the said incident, CCTV footage of Court No.7 was obtained and from the CCTV footage as of now nothing is cleared so as to substantiate the allegations of the petitioner.

Learned State counsel further submits that respondent No.2

surrendered in pursuance to FIR No.616 dated 31.12.2020 which was registered on the basis of the said complaint but nothing cogent has come on record so as to prove the allegations of the petitioner that he was ever threatened by respondent No.2, though, a challan has been submitted in the Court.

Keeping in view the facts noticed hereinbefore, once, allegations of the petitioner are yet to cross the hurdle of doubt regarding threat perception and the same is a matter of trial in FIR NO.616 dated 31.12.2020, no ground is made out to cancel the regular bail granted to the respondent No.2 vide order dated 25.08.2020 of this Court as being prayed by the petitioner at this stage.

Dismissed.

**September 03, 2021**  
*aarti*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No*  
*Whether reportable : Yes/No*