

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.109

**CM-15434-CWP-2021 in/and
CWP-1315-2020
Date of Decision : October 13, 2021**

Ram Avtar

...Petitioner

Versus

The District Collector, Sirsa and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr.Ashok Verma, Advocate, for the applicant-petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

CM-15434-CWP-2021

Allowed as prayed for. Naksha Lambardari dated 03.11.2016 is taken on record as Annexure P-4.

CWP-1315-2020

After demise of the incumbent Lambardar, proceedings were initiated for appointment of a new Lambardar and the Collector appointed respondent No.5 as such. Aggrieved, the petitioner filed an appeal which was dismissed. Revision also did not succeed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is more meritorious than respondent No.5 and should have been appointed as Lambardar. The authorities below have erred in not considering the merits of the petitioner objectively.

The argument cannot be accepted. It is settled law that the

**CM-15434-CWP-2021 in/and
CWP-1315-2020**

choice of the Collector must be respected unless the same is shown to be
perverse. Perusal of the Naksha Lambardari does not lead to this conclusion
and thus, the writ petition has no merit. It is accordingly dismissed.

October 13, 2021
Ankur

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No