

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

210 - CWP-1890-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 02.03.2021

Ram Lal

... Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GIRISH AGNIHOTRI

Present: Ms. Rahish Pahwa, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG Haryana

Ms. Anupama Sharma, Advocate for respondents No.2&3

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner Ram Lal has filed the present writ petition *inter alia*, seeking a direction to the respondents to grant him the benefits of ad hoc services for the purposes of seniority, promotion etc.

Learned counsel for the petitioner briefly submits that the petitioner was initially appointed in the respondent-Corporation on the post of Mali on *ad hoc* basis in the year 1981. His services were regularised on the said post w.e.f. 17.06.1991. Learned counsel then submits that the date of regularisation was made effective from 17.06.1993 subsequently.

Record shows that vide order dated 28.01.2021, notice of motion was issued. On 12.02.2021, the following order was passed:-

“On asking of the Court, Ms. Anupama Sharma, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of respondents No.2&3.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2&3 prays for a short accommodation to seek instructions as to if the present writ petition can be disposed of in view of the judgment dated 08.09.2017, passed by this Court in CWP-23703-2015.

Adjourned to 02.03.2021.”

Today on the resumed hearing of the case, learned counsel for respondents No.2&3 submits that the claim made by the petitioner in the present writ petition is same/similar to the claim as made in the writ petition decided vide judgment dated 08.09.2017 passed in a bunch of writ petition including in **CWP-23703-2015 (Hari Ram Sharma and others vs. State of Haryana and others)** (P7) and the same can be disposed of in terms thereof.

In view of the fact that both the learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the present case is covered by the decision in **Hari Ram Sharma's** case (supra), this writ petition is disposed of in terms of the judgment dated 08.09.2017 passed in **Hari Ram Sharma's** case (supra).

So ordered.

02.03.2021

vishal shonkar

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?
2. Whether reportable?

(Girish Agnihotri)
Judge

Yes/No
Yes/No