

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

123

CRWP-644-2021

Date of decision: 22.01.2021

Manisha Kumari and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Ms. Venus Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J (ORAL)

(The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.)

The petitioners, claiming themselves to be aged about 18 and 21 years respectively, have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the averments that the petitioners, being major, performed marriage on 18.01.2021 at Vaidik Poojan Kendra (Regd.), Booth No.87, Mansa Devi Complex Market, Sector-4, Panchkula, as per Hindu rites and ceremonies against the wishes of respondents No.4 and 5 and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at their instance.

In support of the averments made in the petition, the petitioners have also enclosed photographs taken at the time of their marriage.

Notice of motion restricted to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy, Ms. Vasundhara Dalal Anand, Additional Public Prosecutor, U.T., Chandigarh has appeared and accepted notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has submitted that pursuant to supply of advance copy, statements of respondents No.4 and 5 were recorded by HC Rakesh Kumar, P.S. IT Park, Chandigarh. In their statements respondents No.4 and 5 stated that they would not interfere in the marital life of the petitioners and thereupon the petitioners made statements that they no longer have any apprehension of danger to their life and liberty and do not require any protection. Copies of the statements have been filed by learned Additional Public Prosecutor through e-mail print out of which is taken on record.

At this stage, learned Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners do not want to continue with the present petition and the same may be dismissed as withdrawn.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

22.01.2021

Vinay

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No