

210-A

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3097-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 08.04.2021

Harinder @ Nanhey

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Gaurav Sethi, Advocate,
for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

CRM-10800-2021

Applicant/petitioner is permitted to place on record copy of the Status Report filed on behalf of the Assistant Commissioner of Police, Crime, Faridabad in connection with CRWP-584-2019 as Annexure P-13, subject to all just exceptions.

Application stands disposed off.

CRM-M-3097-2021

This is a petition for regular bail filed on behalf of the petitioner under Section 439 Cr.P.C., in case FIR No.417 dated 27.06.2019, under Sections 302, 303, 212, 387, 201, 120-B & 34 of the IPC and Sections 25, 54 and 59 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sector-7, Faridabad.

[2]. Heard.

[3]. The petitioner has remained in detention in the present case for more than 1 year and 7 months, since 05.09.2019. Admittedly, he was not

named in the FIR. The only material transpiring against him happens to be the disclosure statements of co-accused persons, namely, Roshni Devi and her servant Naresh Chand.

[4]. Apart from such disclosure statement of the said co-accused persons, there is no other tangible incriminating material against the present petitioner.

[5]. It transpires that co-accused Roshni Devi has already been granted regular bail by this Court in CRM-M-3705-2021 on 25.03.2021.

[6]. After completion of investigation, Challan against the petitioner has already been submitted. The trial is yet to commence and the Charges are yet to be framed. As many as 71 witnesses have been cited from the prosecution side which would, therefore, indicate that the trial is likely to take its own time for completion.

[7]. In these circumstances, without commenting any further on the merits of the present case as a whole, but in view of the detention undergone by the petitioner and the sketchy nature of incriminating material which has come-forth against the petitioner, his prayer for regular bail is allowed and he is ordered to be released on bail subject to appropriate terms and conditions to the satisfaction of the Ld. Trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

[8]. Disposed off.

08.04.2021

Bhumika

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
2. Whether reportable: Yes/No