

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

223

**CRM-M-3041-2021 (O&M)
Decided on : 26.08.2021**

RUPINDER SINGH @MONU

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

(Through Video Conferencing)

PRESENT: Mr. Rakesh Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG Punjab
assisted by ASI Hardev Singh.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.121, dated 19.09.2020 under Sections 306 of the IPC registered at Police Station Dehlon, Ludhiana.

Learned counsel contends that a totally false and fabricated case has been foisted upon by the complainant against the petitioner. He submits that in fact the relations between the deceased and her husband were not cordial and it was due to their strained relations, the deceased ended her life. While inviting the attention of this Court to the contents of

the FIR, he submits that that it was specifically stated in the FIR that when it came to the notice of the husband of the deceased that certain objectionable photographs of the deceased were in the possession of the petitioner, he had talked to his in-laws family qua the same. It has been submitted that thus it was evident that a twisted version had been brought forth by the complainant i.e., son of the deceased, so as to save his father.

Per contra, learned State counsel while opposing the prayer and submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions from ASI Hardev Singh submits that a perusal of the contents of the FIR in question, which was registered by the son of the deceased aged 17 years reveals that the petitioner had managed to click some objectionable photographs of the deceased, and thereafter had been continuously blackmailing and threatening her over the phone, leaving her with no other choice but to end her life because of the humiliation which was being heaped upon her. Learned State counsel has further submitted that enough incriminating material had been collected by the investigating agency subsequent to the registration of the FIR including the mobile phone of the deceased, wherein the objectionable photographs of the deceased clicked clandestinely by the petitioner had been forwarded. It has been further submitted that only 01 out of 10 prosecution witnesses cited stands examined.

Heard.

Prima facie, there are serious allegations against the petitioner of abetting the suicide of the deceased for which he does not deserve the concession of bail. Petition stands dismissed. However, it is made clear that

anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

August 26, 2021
S.Sharma(syr)

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>