

114

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

* * *

CRM-M-3050-2021

Date of Decision: 22.01.2021

Rekha Rani and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Krishan Singh Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Samina Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

* * *

Avneesh Jhingan, J. (Oral)

The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

This is a petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. seeking directions to respondents No.2 to 4 [official respondents] not to harass the petitioners.

Mr. Krishan Singh Dadwal, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that there is a family dispute i.e. Matrimonial dispute. FIR was registered by the father of daughter-in-law i.e. FIR No. 38, dated 16.12.2020 under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station NRI, SAS Nagar. The matter was investigated and the petitioners were found innocent. However, it was stated that role of the petitioners were to be pursued during the investigation. He further submits that due to handle given in the investigation report, the

petitioners are being harassed by being repeatedly called by police officials in the NRI Cell.

Ms. Samina Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from SI Nisha, submits that the petitioners were called only for counselling purpose so that matter could be resolved. She further submits that in case the petitioners are required to be present with regard to the proceedings in the FIR, the due procedure as per law would be followed.

In view of statement made, the petition is disposed of.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

22nd January, 2021
pankaj baweja

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No