

**102 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-4291-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision:04.10.2021**

Bhanmati

..... Petitioner

versus

The State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI**

Present: Mr. S.S.Salar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Aman Bahri, Addl.AG, Haryana.

Mr.Sanjeev Majra, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

Mr.J.P.Sharma, Advocate for the applicant
(in CMs-4163 & 4166-CWP-2020).

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Instant petition has been filed seeking issuance of directions to allot a vacant parcel of land allegedly falling between the house of the petitioner and plot/house No.213, Sector 9, Narnaul at the prevailing Collector rate.

Mr. Salar submits that during the pendency of the writ petition the parcel of land in question has been allotted to a third person.

He accordingly seeks withdrawal of the petition so as to raise challenge to such allotment on grounds that may be available in accordance with law.

Without even going into the merits of the case as also the factual premise, writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

CM Nos.4163 and 4166 of 2020

Applications disposed of as not pressed in view of the statement made by counsel representing the applicant.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

04.10. 2021
sunita

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No