

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

204

CRM-M-3059-2021

DATE OF DECISION: 05.03.2021

BABITA AND ANOTHER

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.03 dated 03.01.2021, under Sections 323, 506, 509, 34, 354-B IPC (Section 354-A IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sector 7, Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the FIR is an outcome of a sudden altercation between the neighbours in the park. The complainant had brought his dog in the park which was objected by the petitioners. He also contends that the petitioners are ladies and their husbands are in custody.

This Court, by the order dated 22.01.2021, had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Vikas, states that the petitioners have joined the investigation.

In view of the above and the petitioners having joined investigation, the order dated 22.01.2021 granting interim bail to the petitioners is made absolute.

However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. They shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

05.03.2021

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No