

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

203

**CRM-M-2958-2021 (O&M)
Decided on : 28.04.2021**

BIKRAMJIT SINGH @ VICKY

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL
(Through Video Conferencing)

PRESENT: Ms. R.K. Grewal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG Punjab
assisted by ASI Lakhwinder Singh.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.134 dated 19.12.2019 under Sections 392, 394, 397, 399, and 402 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Sections 411 and 473 of the IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act added later on) registered at Police Station Sanaur, Patiala, District Patiala.

Learned counsel submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case in hand, which is evident from the fact that he had undergone a surgery for hip dislocation and thereafter had been moving around only with crutches. She further submits that in the circumstances, it cannot be digested that the petitioner would have been involved in an offence attracting the mischief of Sections 399, 402 and 411 IPC. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 19.12.2019 and only charges have been framed till date, hence there is no likelihood of the trial concluding any time in the near future.

Per contra, learned State counsel while opposing the prayer and submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions from ASI Lakhwinder Singh has apprised the Court that the petitioner is man of criminal antecedents as he is involved in 08 more criminal cases registered not only in the State of Punjab but also in the State of Haryana. He further submits that crime in question was committed by the petitioner, while he was on bail in the other criminal cases. He has controverted the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is unable to walk on account of his hip surgery. He submits that the petitioner is mobile and is not laid up in bed as is being sought to be projected by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Heard.

In the wake of the allegations levelled against the petitioner, which are prima facie serious in nature and also on account of his criminal antecedents, this Court is not inclined to grant the concession of bail to the petitioner.

Petition stands dismissed.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

April 28, 2021

S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*