

CRWP-547-2021

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-547-2021

Date of decision: 29.01.2021

Satnam Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr.Varinder Basa, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus directing the official respondents to get release detenue-Harvinder Kaur, wife of the petitioner, who is alleged to be illegally detained by the private respondents and further for the appointment of a warrant officer to search the detenue at the premises of the private respondents.

This Court, on 19.01.2021, directed respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur, to depute a gazetted officer to look into the matter and get the detenue, namely, Harvinder Kaur released, in case she was found to be in the illegal detention of respondents No.4 to 9, and to record her statement.

In compliance of the order dated 19.01.2021, an affidavit of the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Gurdaspur, has been filed through e-

CRWP-547-2021

mail. Print out of the same is taken on record.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Along with the affidavit, statement of detenue-Harwinder Kaur has been attached as Annexure R-1/T, which would read as under:

“Stated that I am a resident of the above said address. I was married with Satnam Singh son of Mangal Singh resident of Khaira Kotli in the year 2014. After marriage, Satnam singh often used to beat me. Due to his behaviour, I left the house of Satnam singh and living with my consent with Amrjit son of Dharam Chand resident Kaler Khurd Police Station Sekhwan. I am living with my consent without any pressure and not confined by anyone. I am making this statement in my full consciousness and without any pressure. The statement heard and listen to be correct.”

Perusal of the aforesaid statement made by the detenue, it reveals that she is living with respondent No.4 of her own and has not been confined by anyone.

In view of the above, no further orders are called for in the present criminal writ petition.

Disposed of.

29.01.2021
parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No