

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-2754 of 2021

DECIDED ON: 25th JANUARY, 2021

Ankit @ Godu

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Ashwani Gaur, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

The petitioner is before this Court for regular bail in case FIR No. 55 dated 14.2.2020 under Section 25 of Arms Act and Sections 148, 149, 307 IPC, registered at Police Station Meham District Rohtak.

The facts in narrow compass are that the FIR was at the instance of Ashok @ Shoki. It was stated that he was having strained relationship with some of co-villagers. On 14.2.2020 when he was coming back from his fields, five person including Ankit @ Godu were chasing the complainant on two motor cycles. One Sahil fired upon the chest of complainant with an intention to kill him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no role has been attributed to the petitioner; there is no allegation that the petitioner was armed or had inflicted injury. It is contended that the petitioner is in custody since April 2020; investigation is complete.

Learned State counsel opposes the prayer. On instructions from SI Joginder Singh submits that the petitioner was involved in 13 other cases out of which two are pending. He further submits that the petitioner has been specifically named in the FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in two pending FIRs he is on bail and it is further submitted that the parents of the petitioner had lodged three FIRs against the complainant.

Considering that there is an inter-se rivalry; there is no specific injury attributed to the petitioner and the conclusion of trial is likely to take time, the petitioner is granted bail subject to his furnishing surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition is allowed.

It is clarified that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

25th JANUARY, 2021

reema

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether reportable *Yes*