IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

220 **CRM-M-2607-2021**
Date of decision : 26.03.2021.

VISHAL

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Ram Kumar Agnihotri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

***

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.79 dated 02.07.2020 under Section 379-A IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Panipat, Tehsil and district Panipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that there is a delay of 36 days in registering the FIR wherein mobile phone of the complainant was snatched. The alleged snatching is stated to have taken place on 27.05.2020 while the FIR was registered on 02.07.2020. He also contends that the petitioner is in custody for about 09 months and co-accused, namely, Siddharth has been granted bail by this Court in CRM-M-958-2021, on 14.01.2020.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from SI Ramesh Kumar, contends that although charges have been framed but no prosecution witness has been examined.

Heard through video conferencing.

SONIA GUGNANI
2021.03.26 16:14
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

In view of the above especially when the petitioner is in custody for about 09 months, co-accused has been granted bail, the COVID-19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

March 26, 2021
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No

SONIA GUGNANI
2021.03.26 16:14
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document