

**212 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
CRM-M-3063-2021
Date of Decision: 22nd November, 2021**

Pankaj @ Sonu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Kulvir Narwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. Due to COVID-19 situation, the Court is convened through video conference.

2. This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 20, dated 4th February, 2018, under Section 307 read with 34 IPC and Sections 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Sadar Panipat.

3. One of the main ground for seeking bail is the custody period of the petitioner and the fact that the trial is not progressing.

4. Learned State counsel submits that there are total twelve prosecution witnesses and all of them are official witnesses. She on instructions from ASI Sukram Pal Singh submits that next date in the trial court is 25th November, 2021 and an earnest effort would be made to examine within three months from the next date.

5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present

petition at this stage.

- 6. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn.
- 7. However, petitioner will be at liberty to revive the prayer in case there is an inordinate delay in conclusion of the prosecution witness.
- 8. There is no doubt that in case a request is made before the court concerned for accommodating dates for examination of the prosecution witnesses, the same shall be considered in accordance with law.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

22nd November, 2021
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No