

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP- 1759-2021

Date of Decision : January 27, 2021

Divraj Singh

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

The Chief Secretary, Government of Punjab and others

.... RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: Mr. Vijay K. Jindal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
 Ms. Anu Chatrath, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

...

Sanjay Kumar, J.

The prayer of the petitioner is that the authorities should treat the vacancies which occurred prior to promulgation of the Punjab Recruitment of Sportsmen (First Amendment) Rules, 2020, as being governed by the old rules. This prayer is made in the context of the posts notified vide Advertisement No.8/2020 dated 05.06.2020.

However, it is relevant to note that the petitioner, along with others, filed CWP-21308-2020 in relation to the claim that he was fully eligible for appointment under sports quota and the said writ petition was disposed of by a Division Bench of this Court on 11.12.2020. Thereby, the

Division Bench permitted the petitioners therein to withdraw the case with

liberty to pursue their representations before the authorities. The Division Bench also noted the assurance given by the learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the State that the representations pending before the authorities would be considered and decided in accordance with law.

It is the case of the petitioner that his representation dated 21.09.2020 is still pending consideration before the authorities and has not been disposed of despite the assurance given to the Division Bench.

As it is for the authorities concerned to consider the representation made by the petitioner in the first instance, no cause is made out for this Court to interfere at this stage.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of directing the respondent-authorities to consider the petitioner's representation dated 21.09.2020 on its own merits and in accordance with law within one week from today and communicate the decision taken thereon to the petitioner forthwith. Necessary appropriate action, if warranted, shall be taken pursuant to such decision in the context of the posts notified presently.

No order as to costs.

January 27, 2021
Kang

(Sanjay Kumar)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No