

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.109

**CM-4707-CII-2021 in/and
CR-205-2015
Date of decision: 05.07.2021**

Surjit Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

Kuljit Singh and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Munish Raj Chaudhary, Advocate
for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr. M.K. Singla, Advocate
for the non/applicant-contesting respondent No.1.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

Through the present petition challenge was made to the order dated 08.08.2013 passed by the Civil Judge (Sr. Division), Sangrur (for short – the Trial Court) whereby an application filed by the petitioner/defendant under Order 9 Rule 13 CPC for setting aside the ex parte judgment and decree dated 09.01.2009 passed in civil suit - 'Kuljit Singh vs. Surjit Kaur and others' had been dismissed. Also under challenge was the order dated 17.12.2014 passed by the Additional District Judge, Sangrur (for short – the Appellate Court) dismissing the appeal filed by the petitioner against the aforesaid order of the Trial Court.

Notice in the aforesaid petition was issued to the respondents and in pursuance thereof appearance was put on behalf of the contesting respondent No.1. However, before the matter could be finally heard and decided, an application, duly supported by an affidavit, has been filed by the petitioner through which it is stated that the petitioner and the respondents

have compromised the matter in pursuance whereof she does not want to press the present petition.

Mr. M.K. Singla, Advocate, who appears for the contesting respondent No.1 admits to the above compromise.

In the light of the above development, after directing that the parties shall remain bound by the compromise between them, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

No costs.

July 05, 2021
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No