

COCP No.140 of 2021  
Date of Decision : 21.01.2021

Suresh Hooda

...Petitioner

Versus

J. Ganesan

....Respondent

**Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia**

Present : Mr. Gagandeep Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**B.S. Walia, J. (VC)**

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Learned counsel contends that despite order dated 04.10.2017 disposing of CWP No.22655 of 2017, by directing the respondent to treat the same as a representation and take a decision on the grievance of the petitioner within 01 month from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order, by passing a speaking order, needful has not been done, therefore, the respondent is liable to be proceeded against under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for intentional and willful defiance of order dated 04.10.2017 in CWP No.22655 of 2017.

3. Issue notice to the respondent to show cause as to why proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 be not initiated against him for intentional and willful defiance of order dated 04.10.2017 in CWP No.22655 of 2017.

4. Mr. Vivek Chauhan, learned Addl. AG, Haryana, accepts notice on

behalf of the respondent and informs that grievance of the petitioner with regard to his transfer from the post of District Project Co-ordinator, Rohtak to the Government Senior Secondary School, Mandholi Kalan (Bhiwani) as a Post Graduate Teacher in Mathematics, which was the subject-matter of the challenge in the writ petition, was cancelled within a short period of 01 month and the petitioner was transferred back in the office of the District Project Co-ordinator, Rohtak, therefore, the contempt petition is misconceived and does not call for any further orders.

5. In view of the grievance of the petitioner, which was the subject-matter of the writ petition having been resolved by cancellation of his transfer from Government Senior Secondary School, Mandholi Kalan (Bhiwani) back to the office of the District Project Co-ordinator, Rohtak, no further orders are called for in the contempt petition and the same is *disposed of*, as such.

(B.S. Walia)  
Judge

January 21, 2021  
'Rajneesh'

|                            |   |        |
|----------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable         | : | Yes/No |