

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

232

**CRM-M-1850-2020
Decided on : 27.09.2021**

Parmod Chikara and others

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

(Through Video Conferencing)

PRESENT: Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Chhina, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rajan Bhargav, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No. 65, dated 22.11.2018, lodged under Sections 34, 354, 377, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Women West Gurugram, District Gurugram (Annexure P-1) and all the consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise-deed dated 15.10.2019 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

Vide order dated 30th July, 2021 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court on 27th August, 2021, to get their statements recorded, regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

Report has since been received from the learned JMIC, Gurugram, in pursuance to the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and

confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original along with its report.

Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the learned JMIC, Gurugram, and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

September 27, 2021

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*