

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(110)

CRWP-498-2021

Date of decision: 19.01.2021

Chandni and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of UT Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Brijesh Nandan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

The Court has been convened through Video conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Chandni daughter of Krishanpal (petitioner No.1) claims to be 18 years and Deepak son of Hiralal (petitioner No.2) claims to be 20 years of age. They have pleaded that they are in live-in relationship against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 8 and seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 8.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only, at this stage.

On asking of Court, Ms. Vasundhra Anand Dalal, APP, U.T., Chandigarh accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

The petitioners have submitted a representation Annexure P-4 to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh. Learned counsel for the petitioners has relied upon a judgment of this Court in ***CWP No.31834 of 2019 titled as “Megha and another vs. State of Haryana and others”*** decided on 04.11.2019 and submitted that this Court had entertained petition for protection of couples in live-in relationship.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, the petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioners, Annexure P-4 and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law if any committed by them.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

19.01.2021
Pardeep

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No