

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-2479-2021 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 19.03.2021**

**(Heard through V.C.)**

**Gurjeet Singh and others**

**...Petitioners**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and another**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR**

Present:- Mr. Parveen Kumar Garg, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Ms. Samina Dhir, D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

**JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)**

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No.99 dated 19.08.2018 under Sections 336, 341, 427 and 34 IPC (Section 201 IPC added later on) and Section 25 of Arms Act (Sections 27 & 30 of Arms Act added later on) registered at Police Station Cheema, District Sangrur and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

2. The aforesaid FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant on the allegation that the petitioners inflicted injuries and had inflicted injuries upon him. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

3. Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sunam stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine, voluntary and out of the free will of the parties and without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant admit the factum of compromise and the counsel for the respondent-State submits that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

6. In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

7. Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in 'Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and

another, (2014) 6 SCC 466', this petition is allowed and FIR No.99 dated 19.08.2018 under Sections 336, 341, 427 and 34 IPC (Section 201 IPC added later on) and Section 25 of Arms Act (Sections 27 & 30 of Arms Act added later on) registered at Police Station Cheema, District Sangrur and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua petitioners.

19.03.2021  
*ps-I*

(JAISHREE THAKUR)  
JUDGE

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes | No |