

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

224

**CRM-M-3114-2021
Decided on : 27.01.2021**

Ruli Ram

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

(Through Video Conferencing)

PRESENT: Mr. D.S. Virk, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Rajiv Goel, DAG, Haryana
assisted by SI Kuldeep Singh.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 139, dated 22.08.2020, under Sections 21/61/85 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Baragudha, District Sirsa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been nominated as an accused on the basis of an alleged disclosure statement made by co-accused Sunil Kumar @ Dainy, from whom a recovery of 6 grams 50 miligrams heroin was effected. Learned counsel submits that no recovery was effected from the petitioner pursuant to the disclosure statement of the co-accused. It has been submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 04th November, 2020 and there is no likelihood of the trial concluding anytime in the near future, as only challan has been presented till date.

Per contra, learned State counsel on instructions from SI Kuldeep Singh, while opposing the prayer and submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, has submitted that the petitioner is a man of criminal antecedents, as he is involved in five more cases including one under the NDPS Act. He has further submitted that charges are likely to be framed on the next date of hearing i.e. on

29th March, 2021. Learned State counsel has submitted that the petitioner committed the offence in the instant case, while he was enlarged on bail in the other case registered under the NDPS Act.

Heard.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, I do not find any ground to extend the grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner. Therefore, finding no merit in the instant petition, same stands dismissed. However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

January 27, 2021

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No