

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

205/2

CRM-M-1421-2020

DATE OF DECISION: 02.12.2021

JASVIR KAUR

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Naamparkash Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Kanwal Walia, Advocate for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 264 dated 06.11.2019, under Sections 323, 324, 34, 506 IPC and Section 326 IPC added subsequently, registered at Police Station Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala.

A coordinate Bench of this court, on 17.02.2020, had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the genesis of dispute between the parties is an agreement to sell dated 02.06.2017, which was allegedly executed by Lovepreet Singh son of the petitioner. He has further submitted that the situation flared up on 05.11.2019 when the petitioner along with her husband and daughter were putting up a boundary line of their fields and the complainant came at the spot. He has further submitted that the injury attributed to the complainant is a datar blow on the left leg below the knee which no doubt has been subsequently declared to be grievous. He has placed

reliance upon the order dated 01.01.2020 passed by this Court in CRMM- 55745-2019, Om Parkash and another Versus State of Punjab, Annexure P-5 to submit that her husband and daughter have already granted concession of anticipatory bail by this Court.

Notice of motion for 25.03.2020.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Harjinder Pal Singh, states that the petitioner has joined investigation.

Learned counsel for the complainant states that in view of the serious allegations against the petitioner, he is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

In view of the above, especially when the petitioner having joined investigation, the order dated 17.02.2020 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. She shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

02.12.2021

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No