

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1106-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 15.01.2021

Atam Parkash

...Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE**
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Saurabh Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Additional Advocate General, Haryana,
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Amarjeet Singh Virk, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.

Mr. Salil Sabhlok, Advocate,
for respondent No. 3.

(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual Court)

* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged the impugned merit list of Ph.D. (Law) for the session 2020-2021 (Annexure P-21) vide which respondents No. 5 and 6 have been given admission in Ph.D. being Junior Research Fellows (JRFs) while ignoring the fact that he is also a JRF holder and has also cleared the pre-Ph.D. course work and examination.

The petitioner has also challenged clause 8 of the prospectus for admission to M.Phil/Ph.D. programme and Award of University Research Scholarship (URS) for the session 2020-2021 (Annexure P-14) and clause 6 of the Ordinance for Degree of Doctor of Philosophy to the extent that words “entitled for scholarship” and “with the validity period” be deleted and UGC notification dated 05.05.2016 (Annexure P-17) be followed for admission to Ph.D. programme in respondent No. 2 – University.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already filed a representation dated 12.01.2021 (Annexure P-20) before the respondent-authorities which is pending consideration.

Mr. Amarjeet Singh Virk, learned counsel appearing for the respondent No. 2 - university submits that they shall consider and pass a

detailed order on the representation filed by the petitioner expeditiously and communicate the same to the petitioner.

In view of the aforesaid statement made by learned counsel for the respondent No. 2 – university, we do not find any reason to entertain the present petition which is disposed of. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and, therefore, the authorities would be at liberty to examine the matter keeping all facts and facets into consideration and thereafter accept or reject the same in accordance with law.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

15.01.2021
Amodh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No