

**117 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-2368-2021

Date of decision-18.01.2021

Rekha Rani

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Paras Jagga, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

The petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C has been filed for issuance of directions to official respondent Nos.2 and 3 to look into the grievance of the petitioner and further prays to take appropriate action on the representation dated 07.10.2020 (Annexure P-6).

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the representation dated 07.10.2020 and contended that despite representation filed by the petitioner to Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar, no action has been taken. He has argued that private respondents (father-in-law, brother-in-law and his wife), had committed the offence of theft. He prays that the necessary directions be issued.

During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to give the details of the articles allegedly stolen by the private respondents from the room of the petitioner. It is not disputed by learned counsel that the petitioner was residing in the house along with her husband. A perusal of the prayer in the representation further reveals that it lacks the

material particulars and the prayer is regarding transfer of investigation to some other Police Officer.

Considering the above background and prayer made in the representation, this Court does not find it to be a fit case for invoking inherent powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

Dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

18.01.2021
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No