

106

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1846-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : 23.11.2021

Sant Surjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Gourav Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-21147-2021

Application is allowed, as prayed for.

Copy of complaint (Annexure P-4) is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

Main case

This is a petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for issuance of appropriate directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to take appropriate action against respondent Nos.5 to 8 as no action has been taken till date on the complaint submitted by the petitioner.

Faced with the dictum of law laid down by Hon'ble the

Supreme Court of India in case titled **Sakiri Vasu Vs. State of U.P. and others, reported as 2008(2) SCC 409** and in **M. Subramaniam and another Vs. S. Janaki and another, Criminal Appeal No.102 of 2011, decided on 20.03.2020**, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present petition with liberty to pursue alternative remedies in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

23.11.2021

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No