

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.2166 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 18.1.2021

Vishal Dhawan

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner draws attention of the Court to statement of complainant (Annexure P-7) from which it transpires that the complainant (his wife) has already given a statement to the effect that she has compromised her dispute with the petitioner and would have no objection if her FIR is ordered to be quashed. From Annexure P-11 it transpires that this Court had directed the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate in CRM-M No.32081 of 2019 to record the statements of the parties to ascertain the genuineness of the compromise claimed by the petitioner, and that after recording their statements, the same were sent to this Court by the learned SDJM, Pehowa. The said petition is stated to be fixed on 04.03.2021.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana to accept notice on behalf of State.

In view of the compromise arrived at between the parties, on the basis of which quashing of FIR appears to be reasonably in offing

on the next date already fixed in this Court, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is permitted to leave India subject to imposition of adequately stringent security conditions/furnishing bail bonds, which are left to the discretion of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Disposed off.

JANUARY 18, 2021
‘D. Gulati’

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No