

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-426-2021

DATE OF DECISION: FEBRUARY 9, 2021

KOMAL AND ANR ...PETITIONERS
VERSUS
STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ.

PRESENT: MR. BALJEET NAIN, ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONERS.
KANWAR SANJIV KUMAR, AAG, HARYANA.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty, as they apprehend danger from respondents No.4 and 5, who is against the marriage of the petitioners.

On 15.1.2021, learned State counsel sought time to verify the existence of alleged threat.

Reply by way of affidavit of Desh Raj, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar has been filed by learned State counsel wherein petitioner No.2 got recorded his statement on 21.1.2021 (Annexure R-4) and stated that he and her wife (petitioner No.1) do not apprehend any danger from respondents No.4 and 5

Learned counsel for the petitioners does not dispute this fact and submits that in view of the statements of petitioners, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

February 9, 2021
Gulati

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Reportable : Yes/No
Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No