

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-428-2021

DATE OF DECISION: FEBRUARY 11, 2021

ISHA AND ANR

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ.

PRESENT: MR. R.S. SIDHU, ADVOCATE
FOR THE PETITIONERS.

KANWAR SANJIV KUMAR, AAG, HARYANA.

MR. PARVEEN SHARMA, ADVOCATE
FOR RESPONDENTS NO.4 TO 6.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty, as they apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 6, who are against the marriage of the petitioners.

On 15.1.2021, learned State counsel sought time to verify the existence of alleged threat.

Reply by way of affidavit of Rajesh Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police(City), Karnal, has been filed by learned State counsel wherein statements of respondents No.4 to 6 have been recorded to the effect that they do not have any objection to the said marriage.

Learned counsel for the petitioners does not dispute this fact and submits that in view of the statements of respondents No.4 to 6, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

February 11, 2021

Gulati

Whether Reportable

: Yes/No

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

: Yes/No

**(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE**