

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 1875 of 2021
Date of decision: 26.10.2021**

Imran Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Lekh Raj Nandal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana, for the respondent.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 567 dated 14.09.2020, under Sections 34, 379, 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC' - for short), which were deleted, and Sections 395, 365, 397 and 120-B IPC besides Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, were added, registered at Police Station Gurgaon Sadar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and has been arraigned as an accused on the statement of co-accused. The allegations in the FIR are that the accused had contacted the complainant on the pretext of buying good quality beads and they made him sit in a car and took him to an isolated place and about ₹4.00 lakh was either transferred from the account of the complainant or were paid after withdrawing the same from an ATM. The FIR was initially registered under Sections 34, 379 and 420 IPC. Later on, these sections were deleted and Sections 395, 365, 397, 120-B IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act were

added. He further contends that the money was not transferred in the account of the petitioner and the petitioner has no connection with the persons in whose name the account numbers are registered. The petitioner is not involved in any other case.

This Court, by order dated 08.02.2021, had directed the petitioner to appear before the investigating officer and join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was ordered to be released on *ad interim* bail to the satisfaction of the investigating/arresting officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Ami Lal, states that although the petitioner has joined investigation, but he is not cooperating.

In view of the above and the petitioner having joined investigation, the order dated 08.02.2021 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

26.10.2021
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No