

108 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA**
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2389-2021
Date of Decision : 18.01.2021

Sukhjinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

This is a petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 100 dated 09.11.2020 under Sections 307, 323, 324, 341, 148, 149 of IPC (Section 308 IPC added subsequently) at Police Station Kabirpur (Ahalikalan), District Kapurthala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per allegations in the FIR, registered at the instance of Gurjant Singh, his brother Major Singh had gone to Sultanpur Lodhi Mand to sell his paddy crops and when he was coming back on his tractor trolley, he was way laid by the accused persons including the present petitioner. The petitioner was armed with *Datar*. The accused persons caused as many as six injuries to the victim. The motive attributed to the petitioner is that he is having a land dispute with the complainant party.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab, who is also appearing through video conferencing, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that as per the version in the FIR, as many as six persons that is Gurjant Singh,

Kulwant Singh, Major Singh, Paramjit Singh, Gursahib Singh and Sukhjinder Singh suffered injuries and six MLRs were conducted in this regard.

Learned State counsel further submits that Gurjant Singh suffered three injuries, out of which, one was declared dangerous to life. Major Singh also suffered three injuries on his forehead, which was declared dangerous to life. Kulwant Singh suffered three injuries which were declared grievous in nature and similarly, Paramjit Singh also suffered three injuries, which were also declared grievous in nature.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, considering the fact that the petitioner is the main person, who is attributed the motive of causing injuries to the complainant as well as victims, I find no ground to grant him concession of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

It is made clear that observations made herein are only for the purpose of deciding the present anticipatory bail application and the same are not on the merits of the case.

18.01.2021

Waseem/Chetan

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No