

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-910-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision : 14.01.2021

Sangwan Education Society

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Sunil Chadha, Sr. Advocate,
with Mr. Akshay Chadha, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Raman K. Sharma, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN J. (ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic COVID-19 situation and as per instructions.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed, *inter alia*, for quashing of impugned action of the respondents in sealing the school building of the petitioner-Society on 24.12.2020.

Contends that the application for CLU dated 24.12.2020 is pending adjudication before the competent authority. At this stage, he would be satisfied, in case, a direction is issued to the respondent(s) to consider and decide the application for CLU dated 24.12.2020, as per law, in a time-bound manner.

Heard.

Considering the nature of prayer made, we are not inclined to issue notice of motion. A complete copy of the paper-book has already been supplied to learned State counsel.

Accordingly, without adverting to the merits of the case, respondent No.2-District Town Planner, Town and Country Planning Department, Rohtak, is directed to take a final view on the application for CLU dated 24.12.2020, already moved by the petitioner, as per law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed within a period of four weeks thereafter, in accordance with law. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

Disposed of.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

14.01.2021

atulsethi

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No