

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

210

CRM-M-1589-2021

DATE OF DECISION: 03.03.2021

PUSHPA

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Dhruv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.539 dated 13.10.2020, under Sections 120-B, 380 and 420 IPC, registered at Police Station Thanesar Sadar, district Kurukshetra.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is alleged in the FIR which has been lodged by the father-in-law of the petitioner that the petitioner had taken jewellery and other articles from her matrimonial home. He, however, contends that the petitioner is an orphan and had married the son of the complainant. The complainant and his family was introduced to her by co-accused, namely, Babu Ram and Santosh.

This Court, by the order dated 13.01.2021, had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of her arrest, she was to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Jagwinder Singh, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

In view of the above and the petitioner having joined investigation, the order dated 13.01.2021 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. She shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

03.03.2021

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No