

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

226

CRWP-298-2021

Date of decision: 26.02.2021

Rajwinder Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.S. Walia, Asstt. A.G., Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 3.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J (ORAL)

(The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.)

The petitioners, claiming themselves to be aged about 27 and 23 years respectively, have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the averments that the petitioners, being major, are living in live-in-relationship against the wishes of respondent No.4 and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at his instance.

As per office report, respondent No.4 has been duly served but none has appeared for respondent No.4.

Vide order dated 13.01.2021 respondent No.2- Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar was directed to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action for protection of their life and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

In compliance with order dated 13.01.2021, status report by way of affidavit of Harsimrat Singh (PPS), Assistant Commissioner of Police, Central Jalandhar has been filed in the Registry of this Court which is taken on record.

In the report, it has been mentioned that statements of the

petitioners have been recorded on 11.02.2021 to the effect that they have solemnized marriage on 09.02.2021 and now they do not apprehend any danger from respondent No.4 and do not require any police protection and their petition be filed. It has also been mentioned in the report that statement of respondent No.4 has also been recorded to the effect that he has no objection to the marriage of the petitioners and he will not interfere in the matrimonial life of the petitioners and will not cause any danger to them.

At this stage, learned Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that now the petitioners do not have any apprehension of danger to their life and liberty and the petition may be disposed of with direction to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar to take appropriate action for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners as and when the petitioners approach respondent No.2 in future in view of any reasonable apprehension of danger from respondent No.4.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, the petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar to look into the matter and take appropriate action in case any representation is made to him by the petitioners in future for protection of their life and liberty against danger from respondent No.4.

A copy of this order be sent to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar for information and requisite compliance.

26.02.2021

Vinay

**(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No