

**227 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-1931-2021(O&M)
Date of Decision: 15.03.2021**

(Heard through V.C.)

Nigam

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Deepak Rampal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No.116 dated 13.10.2019 under Sections 498-A, 406 IPC registered at Police Station Sujanpur, Tehsil and District Pathankot and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

2. The aforesaid FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant on the allegation that the petitioner alongwith co-accused harassed the complainant physically and mentally by demanding more dowry. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

3. Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Chief Judicial Magistrate, Pathankot stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any pressure.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant/respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise and the counsel for the respondent-State submits that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

6. In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

7. Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in 'Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and

another, (2014) 6 SCC 466', this petition is allowed and FIR No.116 dated 13.10.2019 under Sections 498-A, 406 IPC registered at Police Station Sujanpur, Tehsil and District Pathankot and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua petitioner.

15.03.2021

ps-I

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No