

209

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.1326 of 2021(O&M)
Date of Decision:29.06.2021

Ravi Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. Mohit Kakkar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amar Ashok Pathak, Addl. A.G, Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case bearing FIR No.175 dated 26.11.2020, registered under Sections 306, 506, 120-B and 34 IPC, at Police Station Raman, District Bathinda.

On 12.01.2021 following order was passed:-

“Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.175 dated 26.11.2020 under Sections 306, 506, 120-B and 34 IPC registered at Police Station Raman, District Bathinda.

Contentends that the FIR in question has been registered on the basis of totally false and fabricated

allegations of the mother of the deceased wherein she alleged that her son had committed suicide on account of the illicit relations between the petitioner and her daughter-in-law i.e. the wife of the deceased. It has been further contended that in fact there had been a matrimonial dispute between the wife of the deceased i.e. co-accused Anuradha and the deceased on account of which she had been residing separately from him for the past many months preceding the occurrence in question. It has therefore been submitted that the petitioner in the given circumstances could not be said to have abetted the suicide of the petitioner. The learned counsel has further submitted that the co-accused Anuradha has been granted the concession of bail by this court vide order dated 17.12.2020 passed in CRM-M-42704-2020 (Annexure P2).

Notice of motion for 23.03.2021.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and appear before the investigating agency as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.PC.”

12.01.2021

rupi

[MANJARI NEHRU KAUL]

JUDGE

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has joined the investigation to the entire satisfaction of the Investigating Officer.

Learned State counsel on instructions from

Investigating Officer states that petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for further investigation in the case.

In view of above, order dated 12.01.2021 is made absolute. However, petitioner shall keep on joining the investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

29.06.2021
Amandeep

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No