

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.231

CRM-M-512-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 14.01.2021

Siddharth Gupta

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Manav Bajaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. D.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the complainant.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

At the outset, learned counsel for the parties submit that the FIR in question arose from a matrimonial discord between the petitioner and the complainant which has now been amicably settled and in pursuance of such settlement, the parties have filed a petition before the Trial Court under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 seeking therein mutual divorce in which statements in the first motion have already been recorded.

The only grievance raised by learned counsel for the complainant-wife is that Rs.8 lakhs (approximately) are due towards the petitioner which learned counsel for the petitioner, on express instructions from the petitioner, submits would be paid within six weeks from today.

In view of the above, it is directed that the order of this Court dated 25.11.2019, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner be made absolute.

It is clarified that in case the petitioner backs out of the settlement arrived at between the parties it will be open to the complainant to revive the present petition.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

January 14, 2021
Jyoti 1

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No