

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.648 of 2021
Date of decision: 14.01.2021

Harinder Pal Singh Mahal and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. Rajinder Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Lavanya Paul, AAG, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioners with a prayer that they were recommended for appointment in pursuance to the advertisement dated 29.05.2019 (Annexure P/1) but, after the recommendations, no action has been taken by the respondent-State so far. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that though the selected candidates do not have any right for appointment but, they certainly have a right to know as to what action the Government has taken in response to the recommendations, which have been made by the Selection Committee. According to the learned counsel for the petitioners, the respondent-State is sitting over the recommendations and that too without any valid justification. The prayer of the petitioners is for directing the respondent-State to take an appropriate final decision on the recommendations of the Selection committee in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Lavanya Paul, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that as per her instructions, the recommendations made by the Selection Committee is already under consideration and an appropriate decision on the said recommendations will be taken by the competent authority within a period of two weeks from today.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents, the grievance of the petitioners as raised in the present writ petition stands redressed and he does not press the present writ petition any further and the same may be disposed of as such.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of having been not pressed keeping in view the statement made by the learned counsel for the respondent-State.

Respondents will be bound by their statement recorded hereinabove.

January 14, 2021
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No