

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1126-2021

Date of decision-25.01.2021

Khushal Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

This petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C has been filed for issuance of directions to official respondent Nos.2 to 4 to conduct fair and impartial inquiry in complaints filed on behalf of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner has given complaints against his sons and grandson, who are interfering in the possession of the agricultural land owned by him and cultivated by his other grand-sons (Sons of Mangat Singh) and are also extending threats. Learned counsel has further pointed out that the private respondents have attempted to take physical forcible possession of the land in question. He states that as the complaints have been given to the various authorities, however, till date no action has been taken upon the same.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by the learned counsel that the civil suit for injunction has already been filed by the

petitioner against his son and others, which is pending. *Prima facie*, a reading of the complaint does not disclose commission of any cognizable offence, therefore, keeping in view the nature of dispute, this Court does not find any ground for exercise of inherent powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

Dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

25.01.2021

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No