

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(102)

CWP No. 952 of 2021

Date of Decision : 29.01.2021

Miss Shalu Goyal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

(through video conferencing)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. J.S. Khiva, Advocate for
Mr. Sukhdev Singh Gopera, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Yogesh Goel, Advocate for
Mr. Gaurav Goel, Advocate for respondent No. 3-Bank.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that she is entitled for Old Age Pension keeping in view the various Policies/ Instructions issued by the Government of Haryana but the said benefit is not being extended to the petitioner and that too without any valid justification.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that though in the records, petitioner has been granted the Old Age Pension starting from December, 2019 but the actual payment of the same has not been released to

her and that too without any valid justification. The prayer of the petitioner

is for issuance of a direction to the respondents to look into the matter and release the Old Age Pension, which has already been sanctioned in the name of the petitioner but is yet to be released.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the relief, which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has already served upon the respondents a legal notice dated 29.10.2020 (Annexure P-3), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No. 2 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Mr. Yogesh Goel, Advocate, who has also joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 3. Learned counsel for the respondents raises no objection for deciding the legal notice dated 29.10.2020 (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner, respondent No. 2 is directed to decide the legal notice dated 29.10.2020 (Annexure P-3) by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the receipt of copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for the benefit of the Old Age Pension after the decision of the legal notice, the same should also be released to her within a period of next one month alongwith arrears.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

January 29, 2021
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No