

**101 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-461-2021

Date of decision: 15.01.2021

Mankit

.....Petitioner.

vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: - Mr. Gaurav Tyagi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

.....

Sanjay Kumar, J. (ORAL)

Grievance of the petitioner was that he was not furnished a copy of the OMR answer sheet, despite making an application under the provisions of the Right to Information Act, 2005. It appears that the said application was decided against the petitioner on the ground that he had retained a copy of the OMR answer sheet at the time of the test itself.

However, Mr. Gaurav Tyagi, learned counsel for the petitioner, brought it to the notice of this Court that the retained OMR answer sheet had been misplaced by the petitioner and that was the reason why he had applied for another copy.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, fairly undertook to supply a copy of the OMR answer sheet to the petitioner on the last date of hearing. Today, Mr. Gaurav Tyagi, learned counsel, confirms that a copy was furnished to him in the morning.

In the light of said statement, the cause in this writ petition no longer survive for consideration.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

No order as to costs.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

15.01.2021

preeti	
whether speaking/non speaking	yes
whether reportable/non reportable	no