

Jaspal Singh and another

.....Petitioners

versus

Financial Commissioner Revenue (Appeals) & Others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTALPresent: Mr. Amandeep Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners**Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)**

The petitioners are aggrieved because vide order dated 02.12.2020, the learned Financial Commissioner has admitted their ROR but has declined their prayer for stay.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he refrains from challenging the order declining stay. A direction may only be issued to the Financial Commissioner concerned to decide the pending ROR on 10.03.2021 i.e. the date fixed before him and till then the Assistant Collector 1st Grade be restrained from passing any order.

The prayer is reasonable.

Mr. Vikas Mehsempuri appearing on Caveat accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.3 and 4 and waives service.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to decide the pending ROR on the next date i.e. 10.03.2021. Till then, Assistant Commissioner 1st Grade Rajpura is restrained from passing any order in the pending partition proceedings.

January 14, 2021

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]**JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No****Whether Reportable : Yes/No**