

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Date of decision: 07.04.2021

1. CRM-M-1068-2021 (O&M)

Rajinder Pal @ Deepak @ Deepa Kalra

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

2. CRM-M-1094-2021 (O&M)

Jagdish Chander Kalra and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. T.P.S. Makkar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anurag Chopra, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in both these petitions is for grant of anticipatory bail to
petitioners Rajinder Pal @ Deepak @ Deepa Kalra, Jagdish Chander Kalra and

Kailash Rani in FIR No.265 dated 03.12.2020 under Sections 498-A, 406 IPC and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, registered at Police Station City-1 Abohar, District Fazilka.

While granting interim bail to the petitioners, following order was passed by this Court on 13.01.2021: -

“...Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner Rajinder Pal @ Deeapk @ Deepa Kalra is married brother-in-law of the complainant, who is married to his younger brother namely Manoj Kumar, whereas petitioners Jagdish Chander Kalra and Kailash Rani are father-in-law and mother-in-law respectively. It is further submitted that marriage of the complainant was performed with Manoj Kumar on 06.10.2011 and out of this wedlock, two children were born i.e. a daughter aged about 13 years and a son aged about 07 years, who are residing with the complainant. It is also submitted that though petitioners Jagdish Chander Kalra and Rajinder Pal @ Deepak @ Deepa Kalra have entered into a family settlement, after marriage of the complainant with Manoj Kumar, in which all the moveable and immoveable properties have been distributed, however, to show their bonafide, the petitioners are ready to deposit Rs.5.00 lacs with the Illaqa Magistrate without prejudice to their right of defence and the said amount may be kept in an FDR and the same be not released in favour of the complainant till some final settlement is arrived at between the parties.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in pursuance of the

aforesaid order, the petitioners have joined the investigation and are not required for any further investigation and has placed on record the receipt regarding deposit of Rs.5.00 lacs.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Paramjit Singh, has not disputed the factual position and states that the petitioners are no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners vide order dated 13.01.2021 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

07.04.2021
vishnu

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No