

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-236-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.11.2021

Pawanpreet Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. K.S. Shekhon, Advocate for
Mr. N.S. Dandiwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

This is a petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect life and personal liberty of petitioners as they apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 8.

Vide order dated 04.02.2021 passed by a Coordinate Bench, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga (respondent No-2) was directed to look into the representation of the petitioners dated 25.12.2020 (Annexure P-3) and take action as may be warranted in law.

In compliance thereof, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga, has sent a letter dated 16.08.2021 stating therein that in the present case, an inquiry was conducted by the Deputy Superintendant of Police, Moga.

Learned State counsel points out that in her statement, petitioner No. 1-Pawanpreet Kaur, has specifically stated that she is staying with petitioner No. 2-Maninder Singh of her own will in a live-in-relationship and that she and the co-petitioner have no danger to their life and liberty, any longer. The aforesaid letter is taken on record as Mark-'A'.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Since there is no danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners, no further orders are called for in the petition and the same is disposed of accordingly.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

12.11.2021
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No