

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-501-2020  
Date of decision-28.07.2021**

**VIJAY INDORA****...Petitioner****Vs.****STATE OF HARYANA****...Respondent****CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ**

Present: Mr. Pawan Kumar Hooda, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (ORAL)**

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.704 dated 28.11.2019 under Sections 323, 506 & 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station City Sonipat, District Sonipat. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of Police, in the above FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 09.01.2020, whereby while issuing notice of motion to respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that complainant Mahavir Singh has not named the petitioner and the allegations are against Vijay Sharma Advocate with whom the alleged scuffle had taken place.*

*Notice of motion for 19.05.2020.*

*Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when*

*called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”*

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by SI Sanjay does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 09.01.2020 is made absolute.

**(MANOJ BAJAJ)**  
**JUDGE**

**28.07.2021**

*Vipin kumar*

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes | No |