

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

110+225

CRM-M-1245-2021 (O & M)

Date of Decision: October 14, 2021

**MANRAJ SINGH @ RUBAL @
MANMOHIT SINGH @ MAN**

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr.Gagandeep Singh Simble, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.78 dated 19.05.2020, under Sections 395 and 506 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1955, registered at Police Station Majitha, Police District Amritsar Rural, District Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR wherein it is alleged that a sum of ₹10,92,200/- had been taken away at gun point. There is no prima facie material to connect the petitioner with the commission of the offence. He has been arraigned as an accused on his statement when he was in custody in another case. The petitioner has a land dispute with his uncle and has been falsely implicated in several cases. The petitioner was in custody in another criminal case bearing FIR No.88 dated 29.06.2020 for the offence under Section 382, 341, 452, 506,

148, 149 IPC and Sections 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act registered at Police

Station Gharinda. The petitioner has been granted bail by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in FIR No.88 dated 29.06.2020. He is in custody for over 01 year and 03 months and no recovery has been effected from the petitioner in the instant case.

Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate which indicates that the petitioner is in custody for 01 years, 02 months and 27 days. He upon instructions from ASI Gurjinder Singh states that the challan has been filed but no prosecution witness has been examined.

Heard.

In view of the above, especially when the petitioner has been arraigned as an accused on his statement when he was in custody in another case, no recovery has been effected from him, he is in custody for almost 01 year and 03 months, Covid 19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

All the criminal miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

October 14, 2021
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No