

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

**CRM-M-1258-2021 (O&M)
Decided on : 13.09.2021**

Abhijit Das

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

PRESENT: Mr. Parshant Sethi, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner(s).

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana

Mr. Sachin Dhull, Advocate
for non-applicant/respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

CRM-28154-2021

This is an application under Section 482 Cr.PC for pre-poning the date of hearing in the main case from 07.12.2021 to an early date.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, perusing the averments made in the application, same is allowed and the date of hearing in the instant case is advanced from 07.12.2021 to today itself.

CRM-M-1258-2021

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No. 0032, dated 13.04.2019, lodged under Sections 323, 34, 354, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC, registered at Women Police Station, Faridabad, District Faridabad (Annexure P-1) and all the consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of Compromise dated 24.12.2020 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

Vide order dated 12th January, 2021 of this Court, the parties were

directed to appear before the learned Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court on 08th

February, 2021, to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

Report has since been received from the learned JMIC, Faridabad, in pursuance to the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed. The trial Court has annexed the statements in original of the parties along with its report.

Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the learned JMIC, Dhuri, and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

September 13, 2021

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No