

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

101

**CRM-M-1026-2020(O&M)
Date of Order:11.01.2021**

MEENU RANI

..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Jagjit Singh Gill, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Samarth Sagar, Addl.A.G.,Haryana

Mr. Naresh Kumar Ganga, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The hearing of the case was held through video conferencing,
on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

The petitioner prays for cancellation of pre-arrest bail,
confirmed on 01.10.2020, with the following order:-

*“The hearing of the case was held through video
conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the
Courts.*

On 07.09.2020, the following order was passed:-

*“Hearing of the case was held through video
conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the
Courts.*

*The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail
in a criminal case arising from FIR No.263, dated
23.08.2020, registered under Section 420/467/468/471
IPC, at Police Station Civil Lines, Sirsa, District Sirsa.*

In a nutshell, the case of the prosecution is that the petitioner after getting the vehicle financed from the first informant in the year 2012, paid installments for some time but thereafter, sold the truck after colluding with the officials from the office of Regional Transport Authority. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that out of the total amount financed, the petitioner had in fact repaid the entire amount. However, still when the dispute was raised, the matter was settled at Rs.2,35,000/- out of which a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- was paid, whereas the remaining amount i.e. Rs.1,35,000/- was required to be paid upto 20.11.2020. He submits that the petitioner is ready to pay the amount.

Notice of motion for 01.10.2020.

On the request of this court, Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Let the office issue notice to respondent no.2, for the date fixed.”

Learned counsel appearing for the State, on instructions from ASI Jaswant Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation, cooperated and is not required for further custodial interrogation at this stage.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the interim order dated 07.09.2020, passed by this Court is made absolute.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed.”

Learned counsel, for the petitioner, contends that the amount of Rs.1,35,000/-, which was required to be paid upto 20.11.2020, has not been paid.

Sh. Naresh Kumar Ganga, Advocate, enters appearance for respondent no.2 and submits that he could not pay the amount as in the meantime, the first informant had died. He submits that respondent no.2, would pay the amount to Smt. Meenu Rani, widow of late Sh. Gobind Singh, in two equated installments within a period of one month from today.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this petition is disposed of.

If still respondent no.2, fails to pay the amount, within the period stipulated, the petitioner shall have liberty to move an application for revival of the petition.

January 11, 2021
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No