

COC-41-2021

Date of Decision: 16.07.2021.

Mukesh Kumar

...Petitioner

vs.

Vinay Singh and another

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Gaurav Bakshi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate for the respondents.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Prayer in the petition under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Section 151 CPC, is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentionally and willfully disobeying order dated 23.05.2019, in CWP-13812-2019.
3. Learned counsel contends that vide order dated 23.05.2019, the writ petition was disposed of by directing the respondents to consider and decide the representation submitted by the petitioner, by passing a speaking order as expeditiously as possible, and in view of the respondents having taken a decision on the representation of the petitioner vide order dated 13.07.2021, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of as such, while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 13.07.2021, by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

4. Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any order for taking action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 13.07.2021, by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.
5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

16.07.2021.
'Rajesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No