

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.217

CRWP-129-2020

Date of decision: 12.08.2021

Vishal Sandhu and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Jagmohan Singh Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

None for respondents No.4 to 7

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of appropriate directions to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty which they apprehend to be at peril at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7. Such apprehension is on account of the petitioners having got married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 7.

Notice in the petition was issued in pursuance whereof the State had appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and Mr. Kamal Narula, Advocate had put in appearance on behalf of respondents No. 4 to 7.

Today, none appears on behalf of the petitioners and respondents No. 4 to 7. However, Mr. Jagmohan Singh Ghumman, DAG, Punjab has appeared and on the strength of an affidavit filed on behalf of the State submitted that the petitioners have stated before the police that

respondents No.4 to 7 no longer have any objection to the petitioners' marriage and therefore, they are not threatened by them any more.

In the light of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, in the future if the petitioners have any grievance with regard to threat to their life and limb from respondents No.4 to 7 on account of their marriage they are at liberty to approach respondent No.2, who, in turn, is directed to forthwith take appropriate steps to ensure that no bodily harm is caused to them. These directions do not comment on the legality of the marriage of the petitioners as also do not insulate them from any action that is required to be taken against them in accordance with law.

August 12, 2021
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No