

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc.-M No.823 of 2021
Date of Decision: January 15, 2021

Karnail Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Kuldip Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep S. Deol, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sahil Thakur, Advocate,
for the complainant.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.228 dated 04.10.2020, under Sections 324, 323, 427, 452, 340, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 326 and 307 IPC (added later on), registered at Police Station, Mehatpur, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that a cross-case was registered against Bakhtawar Singh-complainant at the instance of the petitioner as in the said occurrence, the petitioner and his son, namely, Rinku also suffered injuries. He submits that in the above FIR, the petitioner was allegedly armed with a dang and caused injury to the complainant and the nature of the injury is simple.

On the other hand, the prayer is opposed by the learned State counsel, assisted by SI Sarabjit Singh as well as the counsel for the

complainant. However, it is not disputed that the injury attributed to the petitioner is simple in nature.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, the petition is allowed and it is ordered that the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

January 15, 2021
ramesh

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No